MEMORANDUM

Research Ordinance Commission

To: Councilman Ken Fleming

From: Bill Warner, County Attorney=s Office

Date: November 16, 2007

About: Chapter 116 Amendment and Reenactment

I=ve sent along with this memo, a revised version of Chapter 116 which includes the items you requested us to draft in at the Contracts & Appointments Committee meeting yesterday afternoon. Those changes are set out below. Please note that the highlighted portions of the draft represent changes discussed and approved at yesterday=s meeting.

1. Added a new ' 116.22(U) per Larry Zielke=s and your memos.

- 2. Revised '116.25 per Larry Zielke=s and your memos; deleted '116.23(a)(6) and edited '116.41(A)(14) based on the revision to '116.25.
- 3. Deleted ' 116.42(C)(2) and renumbered the remaining subsections; deleted subsection (D) based on the deletion of that subsection as well as '116.25, and redesignated the remaining subsections.
- 4. Edited ' 116.40 to change BellSouth to AT&T

In addition, I added two minor technical amendments to '' 116.22(M) and 116.(D)(1)(f) as requested by the administration [Public Works Department] for consideration by the Committee. I=ve attached a separate memo detailing those changes which I believe was provided to the Committee earlier this year.

Lastly, I edited ' 116.99 to be consistent with the renumbering of former ' 116.51.

There was a discussion at the Committee meeting as to whether or not ' 116.29(B) is duplicative of the provisions of ' 116.46 [as renumbered in the working draft]. I advised the Committee that I believed that the language in the draft before the Committee was not correct, and that it was likely the result of a collation error when the former County ordinance was transposed to a new Metro ordinance. I have confirmed that is what happened. In order to determine that, I looked at former Jefferson County Ordinance 116.29(B). The County ordinance was converted, supposedly verbatim, to a Metro

ordinance January 6, 2003 by the operation of KRS 67C.115(1)(a). Section 116.29(B) in the latter ordinance originally read:

A(B) The franchisee shall maintain an office in the County which shall be open to the public during normal business hours@

The former County ordinance, prior to its publication, was submitted to a digital \mathbb{A} find and replace@ protocol where the word \mathbb{A} County@ was replaced by \mathbb{A} Metro Government.@ In this instance, it changed the substantive meaning of the language such as to constitute a *de facto* amendment which it cannot legally do. Thus, in the new draft I prepared, I changed \mathbb{A} Metro Government@ back to \mathbb{A} County@ which it legally should be unless and until amended by the Council.

Taken in this - the proper - manner, there is a significant difference between the two sections in that ' 116.46, although mentioning an Aoffice@ does not require that office to Abe open to the public during regular business hours.@

Finally, my notes of the meeting reflect that there was no action taken on eliminating '116.41 as listed for attention in your memo, nor any action taken on '116.44 as recommended in Larry Zielke=s memo. I understand that there is ongoing discussion within the Administration about this section and the issue of reporting.